1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 Case No. 1:22-cv-00581-DAD-BAK (SKO) TREVON FOREMAN and LOTISHA 9 DAVIDSON, 10 ORDER WITHDRAWING FINDINGS AND Plaintiffs, RECOMMENDATIONS AND DIRECTING 11 PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED v. APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA 12 **PAUPERIS** 13 (Docs. 4, 6, 7)DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF 14 BAKERSFIELD, **30-DAY DEADLINE** 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 19 Plaintiffs Trevon Foreman and Lotisha Davidson ("Plaintiffs") are proceeding pro se in this 20 action. Plaintiffs filed their complaint against Defendant District Attorney of Bakersfield on May 21 16, 2022. (Doc. 1.) On that same date, Plaintiff Foreman, a state prisoner, filed an application to 22 proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") without prepayment of fees. (Doc. 2.) 23 On May 20, 2022, the undersigned issued an order finding that Plaintiff Foreman failed to 24 submit to the Court a certified copy of his trust fund account statement, providing him another IFP 25 application form, and directing him to file an amended IFP application that corrects the identified 26 deficiencies within thirty days. (Doc. 4.) 27 Having received no response from Plaintiff Foreman, the undersigned issued an order to

show cause ("OSC") why he should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the Court's order

28

Case 1:22-cv-00581-DAD-BAK Document 9 Filed 07/29/22 Page 2 of 2

1	and for his failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. 6.) Plaintiff Foreman failed to file a response to
2	the OSC.
3	On July 22, 2022, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations that Plaintiff
4	Foreman be dismissed from this case without prejudice for his failure to obey the Court's orders and
5	to prosecute this action. (Doc. 7.) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff
6	Foreman and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21)
7	days after service. (See id.)
8	On July 26, 2022, Plaintiff Foreman filed a certified copy of his prisoner trust account (Doc.
9	8), but neglected to file an amended IFP application as previously ordered. Accordingly, it is
10	HEREBY ORDERED that:
11	1. The findings and recommendations (Doc. 7) are WITHDRAWN;
12	2. The Clerk of Court shall attach to this order a form application to proceed without
13	prepayment of fees and affidavit;
14	3. Within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this order, Plaintiff Foreman shall
15	complete, sign, and file the attached application. ² Alternatively, Plaintiff Foreman
16	may pay the \$402.00 filing fee for this action. Failure to comply with this order
17	will result in the recommendation that Plaintiff Foreman be dismissed from this
18	action.
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated: July 28, 2022 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	
24	
2526	¹ Plaintiff Lotisha Davidson filed her own application to proceed in forma pauperis, but it contains information related to only her finances. (<i>See</i> Doc. 5.) "Where there are multiple plaintiffs in a single action, the plaintiffs may not proceed in forma pauperis unless <i>all</i> of them demonstrate inability to pay the filing fee." <i>Darden v. Indymac Bancorp, Inc.</i> , No. CIV S-09-2970 JAM DAD, 2009 WL 5206637, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 23, 2009) (emphasis added); <i>see also Anderson</i>

²⁷ v. California, No. 10 CV 2216 MMA (AJB), 2010 WL 4316996, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2010) ("[A]lthough only one filing fee needs to be paid per case, if multiple plaintiffs seek to proceed in forma pauperis, each plaintiff must qualify for IFP status.").

² There is no need for Plaintiff Foreman to re-file his prisoner trust account statement.